## Quantitative Data Analysis for the Social Sciences

**About the Instructor**

1. The instructor was usually punctual
   - Low: 14, 29, 14, 43, 14
   - High: 0
   - Mode: 4
   - Mean: 3.4
   - Std. Dev: 1.1

2. The instructor was well prepared
   - Low: 0, 14, 0, 43, 43
   - High: 0
   - Mode: 4
   - Mean: 4.1
   - Std. Dev: 1.1

3. The instructor explained the material clearly
   - Low: 0, 14, 0, 29, 57
   - High: 0
   - Mode: 5
   - Mean: 4.3
   - Std. Dev: 1.1

4. The instructor was knowledgeable on the subject matter
   - Low: 0, 14, 0, 29, 43
   - High: 14
   - Mode: 5
   - Mean: 4.2
   - Std. Dev: 1.2

5. The instructor used appropriate teaching aids
   - Low: 0, 14, 29, 29, 29
   - High: 0
   - Mode: 3
   - Mean: 3.7
   - Std. Dev: 1.1

6. The instructor inspired interest in the subject matter
   - Low: 0, 14, 29, 29, 29
   - High: 0
   - Mode: 3
   - Mean: 3.7
   - Std. Dev: 1.1

7. The content of the course was consistent with the course objectives
   - Low: 0, 14, 0, 43, 43
   - High: 14
   - Mode: 5
   - Mean: 4.4
   - Std. Dev: 0.8

8. The instructor stimulated thought and encouraged discussion
   - Low: 0, 14, 14, 29, 57
   - High: 0
   - Mode: 5
   - Mean: 4.1
   - Std. Dev: 1.2

9. The instructor was helpful in developing my self-confidence in the subject area
   - Low: 0, 14, 29, 14, 29
   - High: 14
   - Mode: 5
   - Mean: 3.7
   - Std. Dev: 1.2

10. Overall the instructor's teaching performance was satisfactory
    - Low: 0, 14, 0, 43, 43
    - High: 0
    - Mode: 4
    - Mean: 4.1
    - Std. Dev: 1.1

**About the Course**

1. The course objectives were clearly communicated
   - Low: 14, 0, 43, 43
   - High: 0
   - Mode: 4
   - Mean: 4.4
   - Std. Dev: 0.7

2. The course structure was logical
   - Low: 0, 0, 14, 57, 29
   - High: 0
   - Mode: 4
   - Mean: 4.1
   - Std. Dev: 0.7

3. The course material was sufficiently challenging
   - Low: 0, 0, 0, 43, 57
   - High: 0
   - Mode: 4
   - Mean: 4.6
   -Std. Dev: 0.5

4. The material covered in the course was appropriate to the program
   - Low: 0, 0, 0, 43, 43
   - High: 29
   - Mode: 4
   - Mean: 4.6
   - Std. Dev: 0.5

5. The course load was manageable
   - Low: 0, 0, 0, 43, 43
   - High: 29
   - Mode: 4
   - Mean: 4.5
   - Std. Dev: 0.5

6. The supporting course materials (eg. Texts, articles, reports) were appropriate to the course objectives
   - Low: 0, 0, 0, 43, 57
   - High: 0
   - Mode: 4
   - Mean: 4.6
   - Std. Dev: 0.5

7. The supporting course materials were readily available
   - Low: 0, 0, 0, 43, 43
   - High: 14
   - Mode: 4
   - Mean: 4.5
   - Std. Dev: 0.5

8. The course assignments or practicals were appropriate to the course objectives
   - Low: 0, 0, 14, 29, 43
   - High: 14
   - Mode: 5
   - Mean: 4.3
   - Std. Dev: 0.8

9. The coursework assignments were marked promptly
   - Low: 0, 0, 14, 29, 57
   - High: 0
   - Mode: 4
   - Mean: 4.4
   - Std. Dev: 0.8

10. There was adequate feedback on assignments/practicals
    - Low: 0, 0, 29, 43, 29
    - High: 0
    - Mode: 4
    - Mean: 4.0
    - Std. Dev: 0.8

11. Overall the course itself was satisfactory
    - Low: 0, 0, 29, 14, 43
    - High: 14
    - Mode: 5
    - Mean: 4.2
    - Std. Dev: 1.0

**Complete Evaluation (omitting Q21)**

1. Lowest to 5: Highest >>>

- 0-20%: 21-40%: 41-60%: 61-80%: 81-100%
- Avg Attend: 4.2
- Percentile: 5

**Differences from 100% are due to rounding**
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